Migratory connectivity analysis

by EURING Migration Atlas

Aythya fuligula (EURING code 02030)

1.1 Connectivity between individuals

The analysis evaluated 1095 individuals (2190 encounters) filtered from a total of 51175 records in the EURING databank which were considered for the Atlas. The species shows a significant connectivity from clustering, with a number of first-level clusters = 2 (Table 02030-1; Figure 02030-1).

Table 02030-1. Results from the migratory connectivity analysis. For each cluster, the degree of connectivity (r_M) , its statistical significance (p-value) and 95% confidence interval limits are shown. When the p-value is less than or equal to 0.1, the degree of clustering structure (oasw) and the best number of clusters identified are reported.

			Migratory		Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Best	
Cluster	Level of	N	connectivity	p-	confidence	confidence	number of	
name	clustering	individual	$ m (r_M)$	value	\lim	\lim	clusters	oasw
0	0	1095	0.092	0.001	0.066	0.122	2	0.555
1	1	815	0.245	0.001	0.211	0.288	3	0.439
2	1	280	-0.003	0.514	-0.065	0.083	-	-




Figure 02030-1. Map showing 95% kernel contours of of first-level clusters identified by the migratory connectivity analysis, if any, or 95% kernel contours of all encounters, in case of no clustering structure. Solid lines indicate the clusters in the breeding range, dotted lines those in the non-breeding range. Different contour colours correspond to different clusters, as reported in legend. The species distribution range is also shown (breeding range: blue; non-breeding range: dark grey; resident range: beige; from BirdLife International, 2019).

1.2 Sensitivity analysis

Results of power analysis and validation. Analyses at the species level were re-run on subsamples of individuals of decreasing size (100 repetitions per subsample size), according to simple random sampling of individuals (Figure 02030-2) and stratified sampling of individuals within the breeding range (Figure 02030-3) and the non breeding range (Figure 02030-4). For stratified sampling, we selected individuals with a

probability inversely proportional to the number of observation in each country. Figures below report the results of the procedure.




Figure 02030-2. Top left: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of connectivity. Top right: Simulated power of the analysis (i.e. proportion of times the analyses on the subset of individuals was significant). Bottom left: Proportion of times the analysis provides the observed best number of cluster. Bottom right: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of clustering intensity.




Figure 02030-3. Top left: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of connectivity. Top right: Simulated power of the analysis. Bottom left: Proportion of times the analysis provides the

observed best number of cluster. Bottom right: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of clustering intensity.




Figure 02030-4. Top left: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of connectivity. Top right: Simulated power of the analysis. Bottom left: Proportion of times the analysis provides the observed best number of cluster. Bottom right: simulated distribution (boxplots) and observed value (red line) of clustering intensity.

The comparison between the bootstrapped distribution of r_M values from live recaptures and dead recoveries is significant (p = 0.019); Figure 02030-5).




Figure 02030-5. Comparison between the bootstrapped distributions of connectivity value for alive recaptures (filling lines with angle=45°) and dead recoveries (filling lines with angle=375°).

2. Connectivity between pre-defined regions

The species shows low connectivity (MC = 0.083; MC = 0.082 when adjusted for absolute abundance) between 5 breeding regions and 8 non breeding regions (Table 02030-2; Figure 02030-6).

Table 02030-2. Transition probabilities between pre-defined regions. Estimated abundance (number of individuals) in each breeding region is also reported.

Breeding region	Abundance	Non breeding region	Transition probability
Central Europe	129323	Central Europe	0.746
Central Europe	129323	North Africa	0.014
Central Europe	129323	North-west Europe	0.077
Central Europe	129323	South-central Europe	0.024
Central Europe	129323	South-west Europe	0.029
Central Europe	129323	West Europe	0.110
East Europe	797500	Central Europe	0.624
East Europe	797500	North Africa	0.008
East Europe	797500	North Europe	0.003
East Europe	797500	North-west Europe	0.165
East Europe	797500	South-central Europe	0.018
East Europe	797500	South-east Europe	0.003
East Europe	797500	South-west Europe	0.015

Breeding region	Abundance	Non breeding region	Transition probability
East Europe	797500	West Europe	0.165
North Europe	263300	Central Europe	0.454
North Europe	263300	North Europe	0.008
North Europe	263300	North-west Europe	0.369
North Europe	263300	South-central Europe	0.008
North Europe	263300	South-west Europe	0.015
North Europe	263300	West Europe	0.146
North-west Europe	56414	Central Europe	0.008
North-west Europe	56414	North-west Europe	0.863
North-west Europe	56414	South-west Europe	0.008
North-west Europe	56414	West Europe	0.122
West Europe	45017	Central Europe	0.167
West Europe	45017	North-west Europe	0.275
West Europe	45017	South-west Europe	0.020
West Europe	45017	West Europe	0.539



Figure 02030-6. Map showing pre-defined regions in different colours, with black arrows linking centroids of individual encounters in different regions. Arrow width is proportional to transition probability.

Reference

BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World (2019). Bird species distribution maps of the world. Version 2019.1. Available at http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis.